NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

PROJECT SUN STREAK (U)

#### ERV SESSION PROCEDURES REPORT

WARNING NOTICE: INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

CONTROL NUMBER: 8303

NICKNAME: None

DATE OF SESSION: 25 Feb & 2, 4, 6 TARGET COUNTRY: US

% 10 Mar 87

REFERENCES: None

SESSION NUMBER: 01 through 05

DATE OF REPORT: 11 March 1987 MISSION STATUS: Continuing

TECHNIQUE UTILIZED: ERV

SOURCE IDENTIFIER: 079

1. (S/NF/SK) TASKING: Prior to the initial session, the Interviewer was shown a folder containing the results of a series of previous sessions conducted by other Sources on this same SG1A target, the

target, the \_\_\_\_\_\_ In a previous meeting between the Interviewer and the Operations Officer it was determined that the Source was prepared to progress to what could be considered Her final phase of training whereby She would be told that She would be starting a series of Remote Viewings (RV) against the same target on repeated occasions. Until this time, the Source had only been targeted against each training target on only one occasion. For this reason the Interviewer had requested a complex target with easily identifiable gestalts. It was decided that the

would be an appropriate target. During the initial session, the Source was provided only an encrypted coordinate, 255700/548156, as per Extended Remote Viewing protocols, to preclude inadvertent geographic cuing. In subsequent sessions, in addition to the same encrypted coordinate, the Source was briefed on those key elements provided by Her during the

SG1A

SG1A

tacility", etc. No other cuing or other descriptive data was provided to Source.

- 2. (S/NF/SK) SESSION: During each of the five sessions covered in this report, there were no reportable anomalies or incidents which may have affected or influenced the data provided by Source.
- 3. (S/NF/SK) SUMMARY:
  - a. (S/NF/SK) A total of five sessions will be covered in this

- NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

In the initial session, Source did not provide substantive report, data which would indicate that She had, indeed, successfully acquired the proper site. Some of the information was tantalizing, however, when used in context with other data given at the same time, the evidence was elusive. Examples were the identification of certain key shapes at the site, e.g., "A" shaped, which closely matches the general profile of the building or "circles and driving" which could have been a reference to the circular driveway in the front of the target building. Unfortunately these terms were usually mixed with other phrases and words which could not as easily be applied to the known gestalts of the building. did report on people coming and going from the structure but for most of the sessions She eluded to flying objects, protective clothing, things floating, etc. which were in the opinion of the Interviewer, an AOL or AOL drive which eventually precipitated the early termination of the session rather than permit the Source to "imprint" a series of concepts which would make future sessions more difficult.

- (S/NF/SK) In the second session, the Source seemed to fare better with early data which provided a strong indication that the Source had, indeed, successfully acquired the proper target. "structure" as described by Source, was, "tall, pointed and standing alone." There was a persistent impression by Source that the structure was rounded on top. This impression lasted until the end of the third session at which time Source finally ceased to described the exterior of the structure (building) in terms of its roundness. Continuing with the second session, Source further described this structure as, "...more layering...thicker near the bottom...round parts...different parts joining...lines (that) join to keep (the structure) together..." Although this data, as in the first session was mixed with other curious phrases or words, the overall direction of Her data was considered, at least in part, to be adequate to pursue further clarification of key elements of the target with the presumption that She had acquired the proper tarqet.
- c. (S/NF/SK) As the second session progressed, Source was eventually directed inside the structure. Initially, She began by describing an apparent storage or basement area, "many things, spacious, round, old, sometime damp, woody, bottom, basement..." She was directed from this area to the "next floor up" which was labelled by the Interviewer, "the 1st Floor." At this location, Source reported a much cleaner, newer area than the "basement", with a, "gathering of many people...(some) sitting...watching, looking across (a circular area)..." Source went on to report that in this area, "activities are planned, scheduled", accompanied by the word, "performances", which again triggered a stern response by the Interviewer cautioning the Source to cease analyzing the data.

TECHET OF ORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

Soon after, the session was terminated with a follow-up critique on Source's reporting techniques in an attempt to "force Her" into doing Her job, Remote Viewing. The data provided in the second session was significantly better in quality than the data obtained in the initial session, but still there wasn't much "meat on the bones" which would aid not only the Interviewer but also the Source in subsequent sessions.

- (S/NF/SK) Prior to session 3, Source was cautioned to ď" listen to the instructions of the Interviewer during the session and not to "move" until told to do so and then only as "far" as need be to answer the immediate questions posed by the Interviewer. Perhaps due to these specific instructions, in session 3, Source was very conducive to obeying the directions of the Interviewer and was able to provide relatively unambiguous data. According to Source, the structure, which had previously been referred to in terms of its roundness, now became a more squared site which was wider at the bottom than the top. The sides of the structure were angular and abrupt or as Source states, "...sides are sharp..." The size of the structure was described in terms of hugh and massive and set in a natural setting with trees and greenery and some nearby associated parking areas. There is a business-like feel about the facility and inside, Source perceived people, "sitting, busy, working, people helping, giving directions...people doing different things...noisy" The ground floor, (1st Floor), was characterized by Source as being, "modern, spacious and simple...people moving about with no restrictions...an area for signing in..." To the rear of this general entrance area (reception area?), there was, according to Source, something used, "to move from the area, for leaving", which may have been an elevator or similar mechanical contrivance for moving people and goods since Source specifically stated that this object was for "leaving" and could "move".
- e. (S/NF/SK) Source was then directed to the upper floors beginning with, "the next floor up" presumably but by no means verifiably, the second floor. In this area there was a series of smaller rooms separated by thin moveable walls, (partitions?). The people working in this area were perceived as employed in, "reading papers, studying...reporting data..." The workers were described as, "business—like, well dressed, younger men (and women)..." Their functions were perceived as relatively unimportant but necessary. Additionally, on this floor was a more elderly individual in a private office, as opposed to the younger workers who seemed to share offices. This older man was in a supervisory position and was described as, "giving directions, bossy, (is) kept up to data..." Very little data of a significant nature was perceived by Source in this area. To preclude the possibility of an AOL or AOL drive, Source was directed to leave this floor rather

# SECRET (MOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

than pursue any further significance to the area.

- f. (S/NF/SK) The last tasking Source received in session 3 was to focus on the, "next floor up", again presumably but not verifiably the third floor. In this area of the site Source reported on a more technically oriented area with, "closed door...locked and shut off...hard working (people)...dim lights...men sitting on tall stools...special lighting so they can do their work...a musty smell, bland...gassy...built in holders (shelves?)". In post session Source stated that the area reminded Her of an Imagery Interpretation lab.
- (S/NF/SK) In the fourth session Source was initially targeted against the roof of this facility which was known to contain various electronic devices associated with communications technology as well as expected vent pipes, elevator housings, etc. After a brief period of, "orientation", Source reported on the perceived presence of, "a ball...something round, also something pointed...white...smooth...(an) enclosed circle...(possibly) a flag...(the area) is flat...(with an area) for walking and down...layered...lower...square (staircase?)...something coming over, spreading over, very large...symbols symbolizing what the structure means...narrow part. .. revolving, working through..." Although these references were interesting and possibly attributable to some of the known and expected objects on this roof, they were, nevertheless, far to general and ambiguous to be of any real value at this time. In an attempt to provide Source with a more, "central focus", she was tasked to report on, "the special area of interest on the roof", in anticipation of receiving data pertaining to a previously known square construction believed to be housing electronic gear. Based on this tasking Source described, "a crossing, dividing, flat, triangular, circular (component)...shooting up, going up...pointing up...a connection but not (physically) connected. .. broken pieces, narrow, thin, moveable...spreading out when used...powerful, big...pulling in (or) pulling out...fiery redness but not visible...man operated...unheard...blasting, but that's not the right word...gives off...a fiery effect..." Again no real conclusions could be drawn from these descriptions but the data was more complete and may have technological significance.
- h. (S/NF/SK) Source was then told to focus Her attention to, "an area of great interest", with an Interviewer expectation of data pertaining to the more clandestine functions of this site. Source reported on, "a room for watching...speaking...modern...(a) man explaining to a group...(telling them) this is what has to be done, this is the way it is...no one questions (his authority), acceptance...colorful graphs...(perhaps) a briefing..." Other subareas in this section of the building were described in very

- CHANNELS ONLY

general "office like" terms, i.e., hallways, drawings on the walls (maps?), desks, decision making area, etc., and a feeling of a senior military presence. The final area covered in fourth session was an "A" shaped area, "darkened, sealed off...(for) fixing...more specialist, technicians...making everything work well...a feeling of accomplishment...(producing) a final product...building up, putting together, a large room...men's voices can echo from here..." Clearly this data was also ambiguous and too general to draw conclusions however, some elements such as the concept of men's voices "echoing" from here may infer the presence of transceivers or other similar communications capability.

- (S/NF/SK) In the final session covered in this report, Source was tasked to report on, "the floor above the area resembling the IMINT facility". Source reported on an area where one individual, perhaps seated on a raised platform, received questions from people coming to him. There was a sense of clamoring and noise and a feeling that this was a "public" area. It is possible that Source was subconsciously still on the ground floor describing some sort of customer service facility. At any rate, again rather than risk AOL, Source was directed to focus on the next floor up, which seemed to be a storage area or area of large unattended mechanical devices, perhaps something to do with environmental controls within the building. Nothing of immediate significance could be perceived in this area so Source was directed away from this area and told to, "go to the area of the structure that best describes the overall purpose of this facility". Based on this directed tasking Source reported an area used for meetings between other people who work in this building, i.e., "they come here for the meetings". The area is located in an area described as, "behind a woman who sits at a desk (receptionist/secretary?)". According to Source the area is, "not fixed up, not fancy...has (intersecting hallways)...pretend walls (partitions?)". The meetings here are for the purpose of, "making decisions, all must agree, planning, pre-planning, important but not urgent, dealing with technical evidence, providing for, making arrangements, responsible for outcomes", etc. etc., all of which could be attributable to any corporate meeting room. Continued responses by Source failed to clarify or expound on this general image. no further substantive data could be obtained, the final session of this report was terminated.
- j. (S/NF/SK) Following each session Source was required to provide a written summary of Her impressions and to prepare sketches of major gestalts on which She had reported. This material and all other Interviewer notes are maintained in the mission field dossier located at this office.
- 4. (S/NF/SK) OPS FEEDBACK: At this point, it is the opinion of

OFFICE - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

the Interviewer that Source has indeed acquired the proper site but for reasons not easily quantified at this point. She is either unwilling or unable to narrow Her focus sufficiently to properly differentiate between the various gestalts of this building. Given that this technique of repeated sessions targeted against the same site is a dramatic departure from the previous experiences of Source, some difficulty is to be expected in coping with the flood of data and the incongruities observed between sessions. Additionally, in defense of the beleaguered Source, there was no clear cut tasking or specific targeting on the part of the Interviewer and in the realm of Remote Viewing this can present a barrier to effective reporting, i.e, "If the Interviewer doesn't have a clear cut target how can the viewer expect to get there..." One final session is recommended to enable the Interviewer (as well as the Source) to positively assure themselves that this mission has been a success. Prior to that session, a clear cut target will be formulated in the hopes that given a bullseye the Source will hit the target.

SG1J

G€-12, DAC Interviewer